Town of St. Armand
Meeting Minutes
Public Hearing - 6:00 pm – Community Solar Project on Trudeau Fields
Tuesday, September 10, 2026

These Meeting Minutes were approved by the St. Armand Town Board on October 20, 2026.

A Regular Board Meeting of the Town of St. Armand was held on the above date and time via webinar and in person at the Town Hall, Bloomingdale, NY. The meeting was advertised and made available to the public.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Town Supervisor Davina Thurston, Deputy Supervisor Karl Law, Councilperson Donna Whitelaw, Councilperson JP O'Neil and Councilperson Joseph Fisher were present at the meeting.

A quorum of the Board was in attendance.

TOWN EMPLOYEES PRESENT: In person: Nancy Heath

GUESTS FROM THE COMMUNITY: In Person: Sandy Hayes, Will Martin, Sue Abbott-Jones, Martin Rowley, Kathy Daggett, Larry Sweeney, Elizabeth Evans, Teah Dowling, Nancy Bernstein, Stephanie Koff, Bernadette Martin, Rachel Lamb and Cheri Fisher. On-line: Bruce, Elizabeth, Kara Matthews, KJF, Luis and Sarah.

Supervisor Thurston opened the Public Hearing at 6:01 pm and asked everyone to join in the Pledge of Allegiance.

PUBLIC NOTICE – Read by Deputy Town Clerk Nancy Heath:

Notice is hereby given that the Town of St. Armand, Essex County, New York will conduct a public hearing in person and virtually on Thursday, September 10th, 2026 beginning at 6pm at the Town of St. Armand Town Hall, 1702 New York State Route 3, Bloomingdale, New York, 12913. The purpose of the public hearing is to review the Community Solar Project on Trudeau Fields in the Town of St. Armand. The link was provided and this Public Notice was advertised in the Adirondack Daily Enterprise on August 31st, 2026 and was posted on our website and on our Facebook page.

Supervisor Thurston introduced Dan Leary of OurGeneration Solar and asked him to describe the proposed community solar project on the Trudeau Field property across from the School Bus Garage.

Mr. Leary explained that the proposed project is a community solar facility and emphasized that it is not a Battery Energy Storage System (BESS), would contain no batteries and is not a data center. The project would use solar panels mounted on trackers that follow the sun throughout the day. Electricity generated would be delivered directly to the electric grid, with participating residents, businesses and municipalities receiving credits or savings on their electric bills.

Mr. Leary discussed potential community benefits, including increased property-tax revenue to the Town, School District and County. He estimated approximately $14,000 to $15,000 annually in taxes to the Town and noted that some communities also negotiate Host Community Agreements providing additional benefits.

Mr. Leary stated that no project approvals were being requested that evening and that the purpose of the presentation was to provide information and receive feedback. He described the planning process as collaborative and stated that input from the Town, residents and applicable agencies would be considered.

The project would connect directly to the electric grid, with the developer responsible for necessary interconnection upgrades. Mr. Leary stated that the facility would require no municipal water or sewer services and would involve minimal grading or removal of topsoil.

Mr. Leary discussed using sheep for vegetation management and stated that Adirondack-area farmers had expressed interest in grazing sheep at the site. Fencing would be required for safety and landscaping and screening would be used to reduce visual impacts. He acknowledged that the facility would remain visible while the landscaping matured.

Regarding noise, Mr. Leary stated that transformers, inverters and other electrical equipment would produce some sound but that the primary electrical equipment would be located toward the rear of the property near the existing National Grid line, away from Route 3.

Mr. Leary explained that the facility would be temporary and subject to a decommissioning plan and bond maintained throughout the project's life. The plan would identify anticipated removal costs and provide funding to remove the facility if the operator were unable to do so, including in the event of bankruptcy. He further stated that approximately 90 to 95 percent of the facility's materials would be recyclable.

Mr. Leary then reviewed the proposed site layout. He explained that the solar array had been divided into two sections to reduce visual impacts and preserve portions of the open field, with portions positioned behind existing buildings and toward previously cleared or scrub areas of the Trudeau property.

Mr. Leary identified an existing National Grid utility easement toward the rear of the property as the proposed point of interconnection. He stated that transformers and other major electrical equipment would be located toward the rear of the property rather than along Route 3. Following construction, access from Route 3 would primarily be for periodic maintenance, estimated at two or three visits per year.

Mr. Leary explained that the proposed layout was designed to preserve portions of the existing open field and locate the solar arrays behind existing buildings and natural features where possible. Approximately seven-foot evergreen trees would initially be planted for screening, with visibility expected to decrease as the landscaping matured over time.

Supervisor Thurston addressed questions regarding how the project originated and clarified that the Town did not initiate or solicit the project. Mr. Leary confirmed that OurGeneration independently identified the area as a potential location for community solar based upon available utility infrastructure and contacted property owner Mark Trudeau regarding use of the property.

Mr. Leary stated that OurGeneration initially contacted the Town the previous fall to make officials aware of the proposed project while the company pursued utility and regulatory reviews. Supervisor Thurston later contacted the company after the Town began considering a solar moratorium and invited representatives to return to provide information and answer questions from the Town and community.

Mr. Leary explained that he and his business partner have worked in the solar industry for approximately 20 years and that their company focuses on developing community solar projects in Upstate New York. He stated that OurGeneration identified the Trudeau property after evaluating available utility infrastructure and then approached Mr. Trudeau about potentially developing a portion of the property for solar use.

Deputy Supervisor Karl Law asked a question regarding how subscribers would realize savings when electricity is generally valued according to prevailing utility rates. Mr. Leary explained that the community solar subscription would provide participating customers with a discount relative to the applicable utility rate. He used a hypothetical 10 percent discount to illustrate how the program could work, stating that subscribers could choose to offset a portion or potentially all of their electricity usage through community solar credits.

Mr. Leary explained that solar production would vary seasonally, with greater production during months with longer daylight hours and lower production during winter months. He stated that credits generated by the solar project would be allocated among subscribers and that, depending upon the billing arrangement, excess credits generated during higher-production periods could be banked for use during lower-production periods.

Using a hypothetical residential electric bill of approximately $200 to $300 per month, Mr. Leary estimated that a 10 percent discount could result in average savings of approximately $20 to $30 per month or roughly $250 to $300 annually for an individual household. He further stated that when those savings are aggregated across a large number of subscribers, the project could potentially result in approximately $200,000 in annual savings to participating customers.

Supervisor Thurston read a question submitted by an online participant asking whether Town residents would be required to sign up with the solar company as an alternative provider through National Grid and whether participation would be limited to Town of St. Armand residents or available to customers outside the Town.

Mr. Leary explained that community solar subscriptions can be administered in different ways. In some circumstances, OurGeneration can work with National Grid to establish an opt-out arrangement in which solar credits and associated savings are automatically applied to participating utility accounts. He stated that this type of arrangement is sometimes used when projects are intended to serve low- to moderate-income households. He also described a direct-subscription model in which customers voluntarily enroll and provide the necessary utility account and meter information so that National Grid can apply the community solar credits to their monthly bills. Mr. Leary stated that under a direct-subscription model, residents would generally need to take affirmative steps to enroll.

Mr. Leary stated that subscriptions to the proposed project would not automatically be limited to Town of St. Armand residents. Deputy Supervisor Law questioned how the project would specifically benefit the local community if subscriptions could be filled by customers outside the Town. He asked whether OurGeneration

would be willing to provide St. Armand residents with an exclusive initial enrollment period, such as 30 days, before opening remaining subscriptions to customers outside the Town.

Mr. Leary stated that OurGeneration would be willing to provide such a local priority enrollment period and indicated that the company would be willing to put that commitment in writing.

Deputy Supervisor Law then asked what would happen to that commitment if OurGeneration subsequently sold the completed project to another company. Mr. Leary stated that agreements and obligations associated with the project could be structured to transfer to a subsequent owner. He referenced requirements involving the landowner, landscaping, tax agreements, escrows, utility agreements and other project conditions and stated that a future owner would be required to adhere to applicable negotiated obligations that were made part of the project agreements.

Discussion continued regarding whether subscriptions to the proposed project could be prioritized for Town of St. Armand residents. Mr. Leary stated that OurGeneration would welcome having the project fully subscribed by local residents and discussed potentially working with National Grid to establish a geographic priority for St. Armand residents before offering subscriptions more broadly.

Mr. Leary further explained that the term “community solar” distinguishes this type of project from residential solar dedicated to an individual home, commercial solar serving a particular business or larger utility-scale solar projects. He stated that community solar electricity is allocated to participating homes and businesses and that approximately 60 percent of the project's energy would be required to be allocated to residential customers.

A resident questioned whether public funds or State-supported incentives would be used to help finance the project. Mr. Leary acknowledged that a portion of the project's financing would come from State-supported solar incentives. He explained that utility customers pay a System Benefits Charge on their electric bills that supports energy programs administered through New York State and NYSERDA. He identified the NY-Sun program as one of the programs supporting solar installations and stated that the incentive received through that program would represent a single-digit percentage of the project's overall capital costs.

A resident then asked how electricity generated by the solar project would be stored. Mr. Leary stated that the project would have **no energy storage and no batteries**. He explained that electricity generated by the solar panels would be delivered directly to the electric grid and consumed through the utility system. He clarified that when he previously referred to “banking” energy, he was referring to banking the **financial value or utility credits associated with the electricity produced** rather than physically storing electricity. National Grid would account for those credits and apply the appropriate financial value to participating subscribers.

A neighboring property owner then raised a concern regarding a photograph included in the project's presentation materials. The resident stated that the photograph showed her driveway and personal property and that the photograph had been taken while someone associated with the project was standing on her private property without permission. She further stated that her property borders the proposed project area and expressed concern that OurGeneration had not contacted her regarding the project.

Mr. Leary apologized and stated that the company's landscape architect had been instructed to remain on public roads while obtaining photographs for the project's visual analysis. He stated that the company had not been aware that the individual had entered private property. The neighboring property owner reiterated her objection to the photograph having been taken from her property and its subsequent use in project materials. Mr. Leary again apologized for the incident.

Supervisor Thurston stated that she had personally mailed notification letters to all property owners adjacent to the proposed project to ensure that they were aware of the meeting and had an opportunity to attend and participate.

Supervisor Thurston then read an online question asking what guarantees would be provided to residents who subscribe to the project and whether National Grid would charge additional fees to residents participating in the community solar program. Mr. Leary reiterated that OurGeneration was willing to work with the Town to establish a process giving St. Armand residents priority access to available subscriptions. Supervisor Thurston stated that providing a meaningful opportunity for local residents to participate would be important in considering the project a benefit to the community. Mr. Leary explained that the term “community solar” refers to the type of solar program and its ability to serve multiple homes and businesses rather than a guarantee that every resident of the host municipality would subscribe.

A resident asked about the anticipated lifespan of the project and why the facility would be decommissioned after approximately 25 years. Mr. Leary explained that the standard initial lease term is approximately 25 years, with options that could potentially extend operation to approximately 35 years. He stated that solar panels gradually lose generating capacity over time and are generally warranted to maintain approximately 80 percent of their original output after 25 years. He explained that the facility could potentially be recommissioned or

upgraded with replacement components to extend its useful life, depending upon the landowner, project economics and other considerations at that time.

Mr. Leary also stated that solar panels removed at the end of their commercial use may have secondary uses because they can continue generating electricity despite reduced output. He discussed his experience with secondary markets and nonprofit efforts that have reused older solar panels in areas where reliable electric service is limited.

A neighboring farmer raised questions regarding the proposed use of sheep for vegetation management, including responsibility for animal shelter, water and care and asked what would happen if a farmer or grazing operator could not be found. Mr. Leary stated that contracted farmers generally remain responsible for the needs of their animals and may rotate sheep onto and off the solar site. He stated that the solar facility itself would not require municipal water service.

The resident also expressed concern about how vegetation would be maintained if sheep grazing were unavailable and whether chemicals or pesticides would be used. Mr. Leary stated that an Operations and Maintenance Plan would be filed with the Town describing how the property and landscaping would be maintained. He stated that the Town, including the Code Enforcement Officer, could review compliance with the plan and that some municipalities require funds to be placed in escrow to ensure continued maintenance of landscaping and screening.

Mr. Leary stated that OurGeneration does not use pesticides for vegetation management at its solar facilities and that the company uses practices such as sheep grazing. He also stated that some of the company's solar facilities incorporate apiaries within the fenced project areas.

A question was also raised regarding residents who already purchase electricity through another alternative or renewable-energy supplier. Mr. Leary confirmed that National Grid would continue to provide electric delivery service while the customer's energy supply arrangements and community solar credits would be addressed separately.

Mr. Leary further explained that an electric bill generally consists of two components: delivery and supply. Delivery is provided by the utility serving a particular geographic area, such as National Grid or NYSEG, while New York customers may have choices regarding their electricity supplier. Mr. Leary stated that the community solar benefit would generally appear as a credit in addition to those existing components of the customer's electric bill. He also explained that customers may, depending upon their circumstances, participate in other energy supply or community distributed generation arrangements.

Will Martin, a representative of the Bloomingdale Volunteer Fire Department, raised concerns regarding emergency response and the availability of qualified personnel to respond to problems at the solar facility. He described a prior experience with another solar project where malfunctioning equipment could not be promptly shut down because appropriate technical personnel were unavailable, creating an electrical safety concern. He asked whether OurGeneration would have on-call personnel available to respond to emergencies at the proposed facility.

The Fire Department representative also asked whether the project would provide adequate access and spacing for fire apparatus and other emergency vehicles in the event of a fire or other incident.

Mr. Leary stated that OurGeneration would be willing to work with local first responders before construction and operation of the project to develop emergency response information and provide training. He stated that training could include identification of emergency shutoffs, emergency contact information, appropriate access routes, electrical equipment hazards and guidance regarding areas or equipment that first responders should avoid. He further stated that refresher training could be provided periodically based upon the needs of the local departments.

Mr. Leary explained that the proposed solar panel rows would generally be spaced approximately 20 to 21 feet on center. Depending upon the position and angle of the tracking panels, he estimated that approximately 15 feet of travel space would remain between rows for access. He also stated that the site design could incorporate designated areas for vehicle turnarounds and snow management.

When specifically asked whether locally based on-call operations and maintenance personnel had been identified, Mr. Leary stated that they had not been identified at this time. He indicated that operations and maintenance contractors serving the facility could potentially be located in areas such as Saratoga or Albany, although the final provider had not yet been determined.

Deputy Supervisor Law followed up by asking whether, if appropriate training and written procedures were provided, the Fire Department would be comfortable performing an emergency shutdown of the solar facility. The Fire Department representative stated that the Department would first need to review the proposed

procedures and receive appropriate training before determining what actions its members would be comfortable performing. He emphasized that firefighters would not handle primary electrical transmission or other high-voltage utility equipment and stated that National Grid would likely need to be involved in shutting down certain portions of the electrical system.

The Fire Department representative stated that training would be necessary if the project moved forward and that the Department would need to determine appropriate emergency response practices to protect firefighters and the public. Mr. Leary stated that OurGeneration would provide information and training during the approval process and was willing to make commitments regarding continued first-responder training and emergency preparedness.

Dave Filsinger, participating online, stated that he had previously been involved with a community solar project located above the hospital and expressed support for the proposed project. Mr. Filsinger stated that, based upon his familiarity with the property, he believed the project could provide benefits to both the community and the environment. He and Susan expressed support for property owner Mark Trudeau's proposed use of the land.

Supervisor Thurston then read an online question from Kara asking how savings would be guaranteed for residents who subscribe, whether the savings would be included in an individual subscriber agreement and whether subscribers could cancel if they were dissatisfied or did not realize the anticipated savings.

Mr. Leary stated that there would be no cost to sign up and no cancellation fee. He explained that a subscriber who was dissatisfied with the service or savings could cancel the subscription without paying a penalty. He further stated that subscriber contracts would be structured so that the community solar credits would be provided at a discount and would result in savings compared with the applicable National Grid charges. Mr. Leary stated that the subscription was intended to appear on the customer's utility bill as a savings rather than an additional cost.

A resident asked whether there would be a maximum number of subscribers. Mr. Leary explained that the number of subscribers would be determined by the generating capacity of the solar project and the amount of electricity used by individual subscribers. As a general estimate, he stated that approximately 150 average residential customers could be served per megawatt of installed solar capacity.

Mr. Leary stated that each proposed five-megawatt portion of the project was expected to generate approximately six million kilowatt-hours annually and estimated that each could serve approximately 750 average residential customers. Based upon the two proposed systems, he estimated a total capacity equivalent to approximately 1,500 residential subscribers if the entire project were subscribed by residential customers. He noted that actual subscriber numbers would vary because electricity consumption differs among households and because larger subscribers, including the Town, could use an amount of electricity equivalent to several residential customers.

Supervisor Thurston stated that the Town of St. Armand contains approximately 980 homes, households or businesses across approximately 52 square miles. She also noted that approximately 82 percent of the Town's land area is State-owned, leaving approximately 18 percent in other ownership. Based upon the project's estimated subscription capacity, Supervisor Thurston observed that, if the project moved forward and appropriate local priority arrangements were established, there appeared to be sufficient capacity for interested St. Armand residents and businesses to participate, with remaining capacity potentially available to customers in surrounding municipalities.

Mr. Leary added that the final subscription structure could be affected by National Grid program requirements. He stated that certain National Grid-administered subscription arrangements may include requirements that approximately 51 percent of the subscriptions or project benefits be directed toward low- to moderate-income customers.

Larry Sweeney raised concerns regarding the potential visual and environmental impacts of the proposed solar project. He stated that he supports solar energy generally but questioned whether a large solar installation in an open field would be appropriate at this location. He referenced concerns associated with other proposed solar developments in the region and asked whether the tracking panels could create a significant reflective or “mirror-like” appearance when viewed from surrounding mountains, including the McKenzie Range, Whiteface Mountain and other hiking areas.

Mr. Leary explained that solar projects undergo glare analysis and stated that OurGeneration conducts glare studies as part of its project review process, including reviews associated with FAA and APA requirements. He stated that solar panels are designed to absorb sunlight rather than reflect it and utilize anti-reflective glass. While acknowledging that some reflection can occur, he stated that the level of reflection is generally lower than that associated with materials such as metal roofing or conventional glass windows.

When asked specifically whether the proposed project would be visible from surrounding mountain and hiking viewpoints, Mr. Leary stated that he did not yet know the exact visibility from those locations. He referenced existing mature trees and proposed additional landscaping that could provide screening and stated that further review would be necessary to determine specific sight lines from surrounding elevated locations.

A question was then raised regarding the total acreage proposed for development. Mr. Leary stated that the current concept contemplated approximately 20 acres for each of the two solar project areas or approximately 40 acres in total. He explained that the plans were still being developed and remained subject to review, including consideration of wetlands and APA requirements.

Mr. Leary stated that OurGeneration had paused portions of the project's development process following the Town's adoption of the moratorium in order to better understand the concerns of the Town and community before proceeding further with the design and review process.

A resident asked whether the approximately 10 percent savings discussed would apply to the entire National Grid bill or only the supply portion. Mr. Leary stated that he believed it would apply to the aggregate bill but would confirm.

Deputy Supervisor Karl Law raised concerns about potential environmental liability if solar panels were damaged by severe weather or if materials currently considered safe were later determined to be hazardous. He emphasized the project's proximity to the Saranac River and asked whether OurGeneration would provide written protections ensuring that the Town and its taxpayers would not be responsible for future contamination or remediation costs.

Mr. Leary stated that OurGeneration would provide additional information regarding panel materials and was willing to work with the Town on appropriate indemnification and other contractual protections. He also indicated that such obligations could be structured to transfer to any future owner of the project.

Deputy Supervisor Karl Law requested specific written details regarding the decommissioning bond, including the dollar amount, who would hold the bond and a mechanism to ensure that the amount remains adequate for inflation over the project's approximately 25-year life. He emphasized the importance of addressing these obligations now to protect future St. Armand residents and taxpayers.

Mr. Leary agreed and stated that OurGeneration would provide a detailed decommissioning plan identifying the estimated cost, inflation or cost-escalation adjustments, bond amount, surety provider and the Town as a beneficiary. He also stated that the decommissioning estimate could be certified by a professional engineer and periodically reviewed and adjusted to ensure adequate funding.

Supervisor Thurston opened public comment, emphasizing that the Board particularly wanted to hear from neighboring property owners.

Stephanie Koff, an adjoining property owner, stated that while she generally supports solar energy and believes the project could provide benefits, she had concerns about its proximity to her property. She explained that water from her property drains into the Saranac River and requested protections against potential contamination from the project.

Ms. Koff also requested substantial setbacks to protect neighboring residences and property values. Mr. Leary estimated that the current conceptual layout placed the panels approximately 250 feet from her home.

She further expressed concern about impacts on wildlife, including whether sheep grazing and project fencing could attract or redirect coyotes and other wildlife toward neighboring properties.

She also raised concerns about construction traffic, noise, visual impacts and landscaping. She encouraged OurGeneration to work directly with adjoining property owners on setbacks, fencing, screening and other measures that could reduce impacts on neighboring properties.

Ms. Koff concluded that she believed the project could have positive benefits but felt additional planning and meaningful involvement of the surrounding neighbors would be necessary to make the project acceptable to those most directly affected.

Rachel Lamb, another neighboring property owner, stated that she and her husband support the proposed project. She acknowledged the potential concerns but stated that she believes renewable energy is important and that the project could provide benefits to the community.

Supervisor Thurston also noted that neighboring property owner Tim Woodruff had previously told her that he was not in favor of the project. She clarified that Mr. Woodruff was not present to speak for himself and that she did not know whether his position had changed.

Supervisor Thurston asked for any additional comments from those present or participating online and stated that approximately 10–12 letters had been mailed to neighboring property owners to encourage their participation.

One neighboring resident stated that they were not opposed to solar and had previously had a positive experience with residential solar but wanted to ensure that the Town handled a project of this size appropriately.

Supervisor Thurston explained that the purpose of the Town's moratorium was to allow time to develop appropriate regulations for a project of this type and scale. She stated that the Town currently lacked a local law addressing such projects and wanted to hear from residents and neighboring property owners before proceeding. She emphasized that the goal was to identify concerns and determine what protections or mitigation measures should be put in place for residents.

A resident emphasized the importance of establishing appropriate standards now because additional solar projects could be proposed in the future.

Supervisor Thurston stated that one of her primary concerns is protecting the view shed and maintaining the natural character of St. Armand and Bloomingdale. She emphasized the importance of addressing visual impacts through appropriate project design and screening.

Ms. Koff reiterated her concern regarding noise impacts on her household. Discussion followed regarding possible mitigation measures, including increased setbacks and construction of an earthen berm with landscaping to provide both a sound and visual barrier.

Supervisor Thurston expressed support for exploring berms and additional tree screening, particularly along areas visible when entering Bloomingdale from the Saranac Lake direction.

Mr. Leary stated that OurGeneration was willing to continue working with the Town and neighboring property owners during the moratorium process. He noted that the Town could establish requirements addressing setbacks, equipment placement, landscaping and other mitigation measures and stated that the company would work collaboratively to address the community's concerns.

Mark Trudeau and Mr. Leary discussed the proposed landscaping along Route 3. Mr. Leary explained that the initial plantings would be approximately seven to eight feet tall and would require time to mature. Supervisor Thurston reiterated her concern about the initial visual impact and expressed interest in adding an earthen berm beneath the landscaping to provide more immediate screening. Mr. Leary stated that this could be considered as the plans are developed.

Deputy Supervisor Karl Law stated that his questions were intended to ensure the Town considers the project's impacts 10, 20 and 30 years into the future. He also thanked Mr. Trudeau for participating in the public process and working with the Town.

Mr. Trudeau explained that portions of the proposed project involve property held by members of his family and that another portion is located on his own land. He stated that the project would provide income to help support the property and pay taxes.

Supervisor Thurston also acknowledged the Trudeau family's longstanding relationship with the Town, including a previous donation of land to Brookside Cemetery.

Supervisor Thurston thanked the Trudeau family for their longstanding support of the Town and surrounding communities. She stated that the evening's discussion identified concerns that could potentially be addressed through collaboration and development of a local law establishing appropriate protections and requirements for solar projects.

In response to an online question regarding the 46,000-volt power line, Mr. Leary explained that the proposed project would interconnect with an existing National Grid sub-transmission line. He stated that National Grid's interconnection study would determine the required transformers, protective equipment and system upgrades, which would be paid for by the developer. He further explained that the solar facility would include automatic safety protections designed to shut the system down when necessary to prevent back-feeding onto the grid.

Supervisor Thurston stated that, if the Town moves forward with a solar local law, she would like it to address emergency-response requirements, including appropriate training, safety information, equipment needs and emergency contacts for the Bloomingdale Volunteer Fire Department.

Supervisor Thurston stated we need to enter an Executive Session for legal and personnel reasons and asked for a motion to enter a 5-minute recess before that.

MOTION TO ENTER EXECUTIVE SESSION made by Deputy Supervisor Karl Law at 7:29 pm, seconded by Councilperson Donna Whitelaw. All approved, motion carried.

MOTION TO END EXECUTIVE SESSION made by Councilperson JP O'Neil at 8: 26 pm, seconded by Councilperson Joseph Fisher. All approved, motion carried.

MOTION TO ADJOURN REGULAR BOARD MEETING made by Deputy Supervisor Karl Law at 8:27 pm, seconded by Councilperson Donna Whitelaw. All in favor, meeting adjourned.

I, Barbara J. Darrah, Town Clerk for the Town of St. Armand, do hereby certify that the above is a true and correct transcript of the Public Hearing held on the above-referenced date.

Dated: September 10, 2026

_____

Barbara J. Darrah
St. Armand Town Clerk